



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,193	03/12/2004	Hiromitsu Yamaguchi	1232-5326	8180
27123	7590	07/14/2006	EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			GOLDBERG, BRIAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/799,193	Applicant(s) YAMAGUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Brian Goldberg	Art Unit 2861	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 April 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2 and 6-15 are objected to because of the following informalities:
2. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the number of sets" in line 1 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
3. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the number of sets" in the third to last line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
4. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the number of sets" in the second to last line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yano et al. (US 6352327).
7. Regarding claim 1, Yano et al. disclose "moving the print head (5 of Fig 2) and a print medium (1 of Fig 1) relative to each other in the scan direction (A and B of Fig 1) that crosses a direction of the columns of the print elements; and dividing the print elements into the plurality of drive blocks and activating the drive blocks of print elements on a time-division basis to form an image on the print medium (col 7 ln 54-66);

wherein drive timings with which to activate the set of print elements aligned in the scan direction have the same time-division drive timing (col 6 ln 61-65)."

8. Regarding claim 2, Yano et al. disclose "the number of sets of print elements aligned in the scan direction is equal to an integer times the number of drive blocks (col 9 ln 47-54)."

9. Regarding claim 3, Yano et al. disclose "the plurality of print elements in the print head are arranged in an entire widthwise printable range of the print medium (col 14 ln 32-38)."

10. Regarding claim 4, Yano et al. disclose "the plurality of print elements in the print head are ink jet print elements that can be activated to eject ink from nozzles (col 2 ln 66-67, col 6 ln 4-6)."

11. Regarding claim 5, Yano et al. disclose "the ink jet print elements have electrothermal transducers that generate energy for ejecting ink (col 13 ln 49-53)."

12. Regarding claim 6, Yano et al. disclose "a print head (5 of Fig 2) having a plurality of arrayed chips (5a-5d of Fig 1, col 14 ln 39-43), the chips each having a plurality of print elements arranged in columns (see Fig 3, N1, Ni, N64) and having a plurality of print elements divided in a number of time-division drive blocks (col 7 ln 54-66), the print elements are equal in number to an integer times the number of time-division drive blocks (col 9 ln 47-54); the print head and a print medium are moved relative to each other in a scan direction that crosses a direction of the columns of the print elements (A and B of Fig 1); the print elements of each of said drive blocks are activated in the drive blocks on a time-division basis to form an image on the print

Art Unit: 2861

medium (col 7 ln 54-66); at least two print elements in adjoining chips are aligned in the scan direction forming a set of print elements (see Fig 1, col 6 ln 52-61); and the number of sets or pairs of print elements in the adjoining chips aligned in the scan direction is equal to an integer times the number of time-division drive blocks (col 7 ln 62-66, col 9 ln 47-54)."

13. Regarding claim 7, Yano et al. disclose "the print elements aligned in the scan direction are allocated to the same drive block for activation (col 7 ln 54-66, col 6 ln 61-65)."

14. Regarding claim 8, Yano et al. disclose "the plurality of print elements in the print head are arranged in an entire widthwise printable range of the print medium (col 14 ln 32-38)."

15. Regarding claim 9, Yano et al. disclose "the plurality of print elements in the print head are ink jet print elements that can be activated to eject ink from nozzles (col 2 ln 66-67, col 6 ln 4-6)."

16. Regarding claim 10, Yano et al. disclose "the ink jet print elements have electrothermal transducers that generate energy for ejecting ink (col 13 ln 49-53)."

17. Regarding claim 11, Yano et al. disclose "a plurality of arrayed chips (5a-5d of Fig 1), the chips each having a plurality of print elements arranged in columns (see Fig 3, N1, Ni, N64) and having a plurality of print elements arranged in a number of time-division drive blocks (col 7 ln 54-66), the print elements being equal in number to an integer times the number of time-division drive blocks (col 9 ln 47-54); wherein the print head and a print medium are moved relative to each other in a scan direction that

crosses a direction of the columns of the print elements (A and B of Fig 1); wherein the print elements of each of the drive blocks are activated in the drive blocks on a time-division basis to form an image on the print medium (col 7 ln 54-66); wherein at least two print elements in adjoining chips are aligned in the scan direction forming a set of print elements(see Fig 1, col 6 ln 52-61); wherein the number of sets of print elements in the adjoining chips aligned in the scan direction is equal to an integer times the number of drive blocks (col 7 ln 62-66, col 9 ln 47-54)."

18. Regarding claim 12, Yano et al. disclose "the print elements aligned in the scan direction are allocated to the same drive block for activation (col 7 ln 54-66, col 6 ln 61-65)."

19. Regarding claim 13, Yano et al. disclose "the plurality of print elements in the print head are arranged in an entire widthwise printable range of the print medium (col 14 ln 32-38)."

20. Regarding claim 14, Yano et al. disclose "the plurality of print elements in the print head are ink jet print elements that can be activated to eject ink from nozzles (col 2 ln 66-67, col 6 ln 4-6)."

21. Regarding claim 15, Yano et al. disclose "the ink jet print elements have electrothermal transducers that generate energy for ejecting ink (col 13 ln 49-53)."

22. Regarding claim 16, Yano et al. disclose "moving the print head (5 of Fig 2) and a print medium (1 of Fig 1) relative to each other in the scan direction (A and B of Fig 1) that crosses a direction of the columns of the print elements; activating the drive blocks of print elements on a time-division basis to form an image on the print medium (col 7 ln

54-66); and activating the set of print elements aligned in the scan direction at the same time-division drive timing (col 6 ln 61-65)."

23. Regarding claim 17, Yano et al. disclose "a storage media readable by a computer and storing the program of claim 16 (20b,c of Fig 2, col 6 ln 30-34, col 15 ln 30-37)."

Response to Arguments

24. Applicant's arguments filed 4/24/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

25. In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation cited in claims 1 and 16 has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

26. Furthermore, even if the limitations cited are given patentable weight, which is certainly true of claims 6 and 11, the cited Yano et al. reference discloses that the printhead may be an array of chips (col 14 ln 33-43) in substitution of the printhead described earlier in the specification which contains print elements aligned in a scan direction. Also, applicant's arguments do not specifically demonstrate how the language

of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references besides quoting portions of the claims.

Conclusion

27. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Goldberg whose telephone number is 571-272-2728. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vip Patel can be reached on 571-272-2458. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2861

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian Goldberg
AU 2861
June 27, 2006



Vip Patel
Supervisory Examiner
AU 2861